UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,645	04/15/2005	Masashi Kumagai	4700.P0312US	1483
23474 7590 01/29/2009 FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C. 2026 RAMBLING ROAD F ALAMA 7000 MI 40008 1621			EXAMINER	
			MENDEZ, ZULMARIAM	
KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/531,645	KUMAGAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ZULMARIAM MENDEZ	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ The 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and. Application Papers	rawn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/17/2008; 10/22/2007; 04/15/2005; 0	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 01/16/2009. 6) Other:	Date			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,645 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strauss et al. (US Patent no. 2,842,488).

With regard to claims 1 and 5-6, Strauss discloses a process for producing bright metal electrodeposits and more particularly to electroplating solutions containing additives which eliminate the adverse effects of impurities in such solutions (col. 1, lines 17-21) by adding tertiary and secondary amino groups to the bath (col. 1, lines 63-70 and structures of col. 2) and wherein the quaternary compound is produced by the mixture of such additives and epichlorohydrin (col. 4, lines 53-60) and an organic sulfur compound, such as sulfonate (col. 4, lines 10-60). These additives may be used in electroplating baths for electrodepositing copper, if such baths contain brightening agents (col. 3, lines 73-75 to col. 4, lines 1-2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1795

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strauss, as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Greaves et al. (US Patent no. 4,692,316).

With regard to claim 3, Strauss discloses all of the limitations, as applied to claim 1 above but fails to explicitly disclose the structure of the quaternary amine salt produced by the mixture represented by the following general formula:

Wherein R¹, R², R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, and R⁷ are each a methyl group or ethyl group, and n is a number from 1 to I000.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,645 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

Greaves discloses a method for inhibiting corrosion in aqueous systems comprising adding to the system a corrosion inhibiting salt (abstract; col. 1, lines 6-8) such as quaternary ammonium polymers derived from epichlorohydrin and various amines such as secondary and tertiary amines (col. 4, lines 59-68) having the formula:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2 & & \text{CH}_3 \\ \text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2 & \text{N-CH}_2\text{-CH-CH}_2 & & \text{N-CH}_2\text{-CH-CH}_2 \\ \text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2 & & \text{OH} & & \text{Cl}^- & \text{OH} \\ \end{pmatrix}_{N}^{\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2}$$

Wherein n is a number from 0-500, although, other amines may also be employed (col. 5, lines 1-10). Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to use an additive, as disclosed by Greaves, in the electrolytic solution of Strauss, in order to inhibit corrosion in an aqueous system.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strauss, as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Barbieri (US Patent no. 4,555,315).

With regard to claim 4, Strauss discloses all of the limitations, as applied to claim 1 above but fails to explicitly teach wherein the organic sulfur compound is expressed by the following General Formula (3) or (4):

$$X - R^1 - (S)_p - R^2 - Y$$
 (3)

$$R^4 - S - R^3 - SO_3Z \tag{4}$$

Art Unit: 1795

wherein in General Formulas (3) and (4), R¹, R², and R³ are each an alkylene group with 1 to 8 carbon atoms, R⁴ is selected from the group consisting of hydrogen,

$$H_3C$$
 H_3C
 H_3C
 H_3C
 H_3C
 H_3C
 H_3C
 H_3C
 H_3C
 H_3C

X is selected from the group consisting of hydrogen, a sulfonic acid group, a phosphonic acid group, and an alkali metal salt or ammonium base of sulfonic acid or phosphonic acid, Y is selected from the group consisting of a sulfonic acid group, a phosphonic acid group, and an alkali metal salt of sulfonic acid or phosphonic acid, Z is hydrogen or an alkali metal, and n is 2 or 3).

Barbieri discloses an additive system for producing bright, ductile, level copper deposits with good recess brightness on metal substrates, enabling usage of higher plating current densities in electroplating equipment (col. 1, lines 12-18) wherein a copper electrolytic solution contains as additives: a polyepichlorohydrin quaternary amine salt, and an organic sulfur compound (abstract; col. 1, lines 64-66). The organic sulfur compound may have the formula:

$$XR_1$$
— $(S)_nR_2SO_3H$ or XR_1 — $(S)_nR_2PO_3H$

Art Unit: 1795

Wherein R_1 and R_2 are the same or different alkylene group containing from about 1 to 6 carbon atoms, X is hydrogen, SO_3H or PO_3H and n is a number from about 2 to 5 (col. 3, lines 25-42).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a organic sulfur compound, as taught by Barbieri, in the electrolytic solution of Strauss, in order to produce bright, ductile, level copper deposits with good recess brightness on metal substrates, as well as to enable usage of higher plating current densities in electroplating equipment.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZULMARIAM MENDEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-9805. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa D. Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,645 Page 7

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harry D Wilkins, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Z. M./ Examiner, Art Unit